



N° de dossier de la SI / ID File No.: 0018-B8-00741-02-AH

Procès-verbal de l'audience (la partie décision seulement)
Records of Proceedings (decision part only)
Audience: PUBLIC

Entre	Le ministre de la Sécurité publique et de la Protection civile / The Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness	Between
et		and
Intéressé(e)(s)	SAMI ANGHEL	Person(s) Concerned
Date(s) de l'audience	JULY 30, 2018	Date(s) of Hearing
Lieu de l'audience	MONTRÉAL	Place of Hearing
Date de la décision	JULY 30, 2018	Date of Decision
Tribunal	DIANNE TORDORF	Panel
Conseil du ministre	MICHEL GALLANT	Counsel for the Minister
Conseil(s) pour l'intéressé(e) / les intéressé(e)(s)	ME P. IVANYI (ABSENT)	Counsel for the Person(s) Concerned
Représentant désigné	N/A	DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE
Interprète	MME CODREANU (PHONE)	INTERPRETER

BY THE MEMBER

- [1] This is the decision in the admissibility hearing of Sami Anghel.
- [2] The hearing was held in public. The proof consisted of Mr. Anghel's testimony, as well as Exhibits C-1 to C-13.
- [3] Mr. Anghel was unrepresented this morning, but his counsel Mr. Ivanyi sent a letter to the Minister's counsel on the 28th of July stating that he had been advised by the local Crown Attorney that they were prepared to suspend the charges against Mr. Anghel in order to effect his removal and that your family was ready to fund your removal. The Minister's counsel responded saying that they wish to proceed with an admissibility hearing. Your lawyer responded saying that he was pretty sure you would admit the allegations.
- [4] The documents for the admissibility hearing were sent to your counsel, but apparently you never received a copy. We went over the documents generally with you this morning and the Minister's counsel will send you a proper full copy as soon as we're finished this hearing. In spite of all of this, you were still willing to go on and proceed this morning with the allegation.
- [5] So I am satisfied that the Minister has met its burden and that the following facts have been proven on the basis of reasonable grounds to believe.
- [6] That you were born on the 22nd of January, 1993. You are a citizen of Romania. You are not a citizen of Canada nor a permanent resident. That according to the documents by the Minister's counsel, you have been involved with other individuals in what's called "quick change scams". We have the information in C-3 and you have admitted these incidents that on the 10th of July, 2018, that the RCMP was dispatched to Port Hood Co-op for a report of a fraud; that on the 9th of July, two Eastern European males, one including yourself and asked for change for larger cash bills. You with the other male talked to two different cashiers and confused them by passing bills back and forth resulting in the cashier giving back 500 extra dollars. They noted that you had left in a blue Ford Mustang with Ontario licence plates.
- [7] Then there was another Co-op at the Inverness Co-op for the same type of fraud. The same type of fraud happened at the Harbor Restaurant in Chéticamp, as well as Wabo's Pizza, also at Gabriel Restaurant, also at Lemoyne Co-op in Grand Étang and other incidents.
- [8] To these incidents, you admitted that yes you were with two other individuals. You said that the person Ion Rostas was in on the scam, but not Ion Kevec. You said that you do... do these quick change scams and you also did that in the Walmart in Ontario, January 2018.
- [9] So, I've seen also as proof the photos of surveillance during these quick change scams. I can see you are with another individual and this is in C-8. And when I look at the rest of the information, let's say C-13, the York Regional police officer speaks about these people in this Rostas group that go about in these quick change scams. So I find that you fit into the... there is reasonable grounds to believe that you fit into this group of people that go about doing these quick change scams.
- [10] You admitted saying that you ask... you go into a place and you change money rapidly. You were using the same *modus operandi*. So I find that you are described under article 37(1)(a) of the Act, that you are part of this group that gets involved in a pattern of criminal activity planned and

July 30, 2018

organized by a number of people acting in concert in the furtherance of a commission of an offence, that offence being theft.

[11] So consequently, I issue against you a deportation order. I've already explained to you the consequences of a deportation order is that if and when it becomes in effect you would have to leave Canada and you cannot return unless you first obtain the written consent of the Minister.

[12] Thank you. This ends your admissibility hearing.

--- The decision is concluded.

I hereby certify that this is
a true and faithful transcription
of the concluded hearing.



Francine Hébert, Transcriber
International Reporting Inc.

August 22, 2018

(Unrevised English certified transcription)