

# Refusal Notes

January 30, 2023 5:26 PM

Intro and ending paragraphs					
<b>Intro</b>	Application and submissions reviewed. Summary of key findings below: PA seeks to obtain a Post Graduate certificate in XXXX; see LOA on file. PA holds a Bachelor degree of XXXX	Post Graduate certificate	Bachelor degree		
<b>Refusal paragraph Sample 1</b>	On balance, after review all information including PA's previous educational history, relevance of the proposed course of study and taking into account factors such as personal establishment; the applicant has failed to satisfy me that they are a bona fide temporary resident who will leave Canada following the completion of their studies. Application refused under R216(1)(b)				
<b>Refusal paragraph Sample 2</b>	On balance, after review all information I am not satisfied that the applicant would be a bonafide student who will leave Canada by the end of the period authorized for their stay. Application refused under R216(1)(b)/R220(a)+(b)				
Language					
<b>Low IELTS</b>	Applicant has IELTS band of 5.5 or less in one or more of the core proficiencies. I have concerns with the applicant's ability to handle an English-language course load, given the added difficulties for those studying abroad. I am not satisfied that the motivation to pursue this particular program in Canada, at this point in time, is reasonable.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Low language scores (d)		
<b>Program requirements</b>	Applicant has been accepted into Yorkville University; however the applicant does not meet University's minimum requirements for direct entry into academic programs, applicant will be required to attend ESL classes. LOA does not indicate applicant has been enrolled in a pre-requisite program.	Yorkville University	R216(1)(b) Purpose Low language scores (d)		
<b>Low grades</b>	I am not satisfied of the applicant's abilities to complete their studies given the transcript/marks provided. I have concerns that applicant will be able to successfully complete the study program.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Unreasonable program of studies (e)		
<b>No proof of English/French</b>	Language test results or previous transcripts to indicate language ability not on file; I have concerns with the applicant's ability to manage an English language course load, given the added difficulties for those studying abroad.	English	I am not satisfied that you are a bonafide student who will be successful in your program of study as you have not provided evidence of a valid language proficiency test and school transcripts.. Refused under R216(1)(b).		
<b>ESL required</b>	LOA from Seneca indicates admission to academic program is conditional upon successfully completing ESL courses. No proof of completed ESL or admission to pre-requisite program on file.	Seneca			
<b>PTE results</b>	PA provided PTE English test results. Document cannot be verified in order to determine PA's actual ability to study in Canada successfully. Results are not allocated for verification. As such I am not satisfied PA is a Bonafide student who will be able to successfully complete the study program in Canada		I am not satisfied that you are a bonafide student who will be successful in your program of study as you have not provided verifiable language test results. Refused under R216(1)(b).		
Illogical Progression					
<b>Illogical study progression Inconsistent education</b>	Previous schooling and/or employment inconsistent with current educational goals; Illogical study progression.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Unreasonable program of studies (e)		
<b>Illogical study progression Study history</b>	Given the applicant's previous education and/or employment history, I am not satisfied the motivation to pursue this particular program, at this point in time in Canada, is reasonable		R216(1)(b) Purpose Unreasonable program of studies (e)		
<b>Illogical study progression Potential career</b>	The applicant has failed to satisfy me that pursuing the selected program of study is reasonable when weighed against the potential career/employment benefits after completion.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Unreasonable program of studies (e)		
<b>Illogical study progression Lower level</b>	The proposed study plan is inconsistent with the applicant's academic/work history and does not outline a clear career path for which such an educational program would be of benefit. Applicant possesses a higher academic level of education compared to the proposed studies in the the LOA, the intended program is a redundant course of action and does not appear to be a logical progression in their career path. I am not satisfied that this is a reasonable progression of studies.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Unreasonable program of studies (e)		
<b>Illogical study progression Same level</b>	The proposed study plan is inconsistent with the applicant's academic/work history and does not outline a clear career path for which such an educational program would be of benefit. Applicant possesses a similar academic level of education compared to the proposed studies in the the LOA, the intended program is a redundant course of action and does not appear to be a logical progression in their career path. I am not satisfied that this is a reasonable progression of studies.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Unreasonable program of studies (e)		
<b>Illogical study progression Study + work history</b>	The applicant demonstrates (through their submitted documentation) that they possess an acceptable combination of education, training and experience in their respective field. This negates the necessity for the international education towards their career advancement and leads to concerns that their motivation of pursuing education in Canada is to seek entry for reasons other than temporary. I am not satisfied with the applicant's purpose/intention to pursue studies in CDA.				
<b>Illogical study progression Study + work history</b>	Reviewing the previous stated education and considering the full/time employment as XXXXXX XXXXX, it confirms the applicant's expertise in their declared occupation, additionally, the provided description of tasks performed by the applicant (outlined in their submitted study plan) indicates they already possess the skills necessary for their vocation and questions the intended purpose of applying to the DLI program. I am not satisfied with the proposed studies as the applicant has already achieved the benefits of this program through their previous work experiences. It is not evident why applicant would study this program at such great expense considering applicant already possesses the same level of qualifications.				
<b>No transcripts</b>	No proof provided of previous studies; marks sheets, academic record or diplomas. Unable to determine applicant's ability to successfully complete the study program in Canada.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Unreasonable program of studies (e)		
Funds					
<b>Insufficient funds</b>	Applicant has provided financial documents. I have concerns the applicant does not have sufficient finances readily available to cover their tuition and living costs while studying in Canada.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Insufficient funds R216(1)(b) Assets		
<b>Insufficient proof of funds</b>	Limited financial documentation provided - banking transactions noted. Low to moderate funds noted in financial transactions. Taking the applicant's plan of studies into account, the documentation provided in support of the applicant's financial situation does not demonstrate that funds would be sufficient or available for tuition, living expenses and travel. I am not satisfied that the proposed studies would be a reasonable expense.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Insufficient funds R216(1)(b) Assets R220(a)+(b)		
<b>Insufficient funds</b>	Banking transactions history provided. Detailed bank statements show overall low funds. Financial information is not supported with evidence of income tax paid nor pay slips, for the bank statement balances on file. No certificate of deposit from university provided with stated tuition payment. Deposits shown in banking transaction history is not commensurate with the stated income (pay slips or stated salary from employer). Limited evidence pertaining to the source on stated funds. In the absence of satisfactory documentation showing the source of these funds, I am not satisfied the applicant has sufficient funds for the intended international study.				
<b>3rd party funds Family</b>	Proof of funds on file from third party (Aunt, uncle, unknown). Insufficient supporting documents on file to establish relationship. Based on the documentation on file, and the limited information demonstrating nature of relationship between applicant and sponsor, I have concerns that third party funds would be sufficient and available for the proposed studies.				
<b>3rd party funds Unknown</b>	Applicant provided third financial documents but did not indicate or document relationship to account holder, nor source of income. Based on the documentation on file, and the limited information demonstrating nature of relationship between applicant and what appears to be a financial sponsor, I have concerns that third party funds would be sufficient and available for the proposed studies.				
<b>3rd party funds Employer</b>	I note a letter from applicant's current employer - XXXXXXXX Ltd- indicating a willingness to permit a leave of absence for the applicant's studies with a guarantee of continued employment upon return. A letter of support of XXXX k CAD is also present. The applicant has also provided the employer's banking documents with significant funds. However, no direct proof of employer's financial support on file (tuition payments or financial transfers to applicant's personal accounts), nor has the applicant demonstrated how a 2 year leave of absence from their current employment will translate to increased prospects of promotion with employer outside of generalize statements of increase knowledge or experience drawn from international studies. Evidence on file does not adequately establish applicant's access to adequate				

	funds to support the proposed course of study in Canada and associated expenses.			
<b>Lump sum deposits</b>	Bank statement shows lump sum deposits and pre-existing low balances. The presence of the lump-sum deposits does not satisfy me that the applicant will have access to the funds provided in support of the application, as their bank accounts demonstrate volatile balances and inconsistent income.			
<b>Lump sum deposits</b>	Bank statements provided. Initial balance shows a low sum that has increased to a large closing balance. Multiple lump sums deposited over a short period noted. Limited evidence pertaining to the source of these funds. The significant change in bank balance lends to the point that the bank account was inflated for the visa application in order to meet financial establishment and sustainability for the first, and subsequent year(s) of studies. I have concerns the funds are for demonstration purposes only and are not reflective of the applicants legitimate financial resources. In the absence of satisfactory documentation showing the source of these funds, I am not satisfied the applicant has sufficient funds for the intended purpose.			
<b>Microbank</b>	Taking the applicant's plan of studies into account, documents submitted show some funds seem available, but there are concerns over the source of funds, and if funds would be sufficient and available for the whole course. I am not satisfied that the proposed studies would be a reasonable expense.			
<b>Partial Tuition</b>	I note that a mandatory/partial tuition payment has been paid to hold their place in the program. No additional payments on file.			
<b>Partial Tuition</b>	A minimum tuition payment was paid (proof on provided LOA) and receipt was provided in the original documentation. Since the school fees for the first academic year were not paid in full, and without a proper bank document to establish the current available funds, I am not satisfied that the applicant had sufficient funds to support themselves for the duration of their studies.			
<b>Other</b>				
<b>Contradicting info from previous app</b>	Noted inconsistency in background/educational information provided in previous application and current application which impacts their credibility regarding being truthful in the application.		R216(1)(b) Purpose Insufficient funds R216(1)(b) Assets	
<b>Credibility of documents</b>	Supporting documents reviewed. These documents are poorly written with grammatical and syntax issues obscuring the nature of their purpose and how they support the applicant. The credibility of the document is suspect and is an aggravating factor in my assessment.			
<b>Study Plan</b>	Study plan submitted does not outline a clear career/educational path for which the sought educational program would be of benefit. It refers to general advantageous comments regarding the value of international education in Canada. I am not satisfied with the applicant's purpose/intention to pursue studies in CDA.			
<b>No LOA</b>	PA did not provide a valid Letter of Acceptance from a designated learning institution in Canada.		You have not provided a valid Letter of Acceptance (LOA) from a designated learning institution at which you wish to pursue your studies. Refused under R216(1)(b)	
<b>No CAQ</b>	PA did not provide a valid Quebec Acceptance Certificate (CAQ). I have concerns whether the applicant has an authorization to study in Quebec.		You have not provided a valid Quebec Acceptance certificate (CAQ) from Quebec Authorities at which you wish to pursue your studies in a designated learning institution. Refused under R216(1)(b)	
<b>Failed to disclose refusals</b>	PA failed to disclose prior SP refusal(s) on their application when responding to the background questions. As a consequence, I am not satisfied that the applicant has truthfully answered all questions which impacts their credibility regarding being truthful in the application.		<b>Refusal ground:</b> A16(1) Truthful - The applicant has answered "no" to statutory question 2(b) on IMM form 1295.	
<b>LOA verification</b>	*** DO NOT DISCLOSE*** The enclosed information is intended for use in the administration of the Immigration & Refugee Protection Act (IRPA) and/or Citizenship Acts. The content of the information is exempt under section 16(1) (c) of the Access to Information Act as disclosure could reasonably be expected to be injurious to the enforcement of any law of Canada or a province or the conduct of lawful investigation.  Please verify the PA's LOA from University of Saskatchewan eDoc 536972971	University of Saskatchewan	536972971	Rec Interview O271921 947052 RC-8695
<b>Refusal grounds</b>				
<b>R216(1)(b) Purpose</b>	I am not satisfied that you will leave Canada at the end of your stay, as stipulated in subsection 216(1) of the IRPR, based on the purpose of your visit.			
<b>Other - Generic</b>	Your proposed studies are not reasonable in light of: your qualifications, previous studies, mark sheets, academic record, level of establishment, language abilities, and/or your future prospects and plans.			
<b>Other - Funds</b>	I am not satisfied that you have sufficient and available financial resources to pay the tuition fees for the program of studies that you intend to pursue and to pay for living expenses while in Canada.			
<b>Other - Language</b>	I am not satisfied that you are a bonafide student who will be successful in your program of study due to low language test results. Refused under R216(1)(b).			
<b>Other - Language (PTE)</b>	I am not satisfied that you are a bonafide student who will be successful in your program of study as you have not provided verifiable language test results. Refused under R216(1)(b).			
<b>Other - Language &amp; Program</b>	I am not satisfied that the motivation to pursue this program at this point in Canada is reasonable; I am not satisfied you are a bonafide student who will be successful in your program of study due to language test results. Refused under R216(1)			
<b>Other - Illogical progression</b>	Given your previous education and/or employment history, I am not satisfied that the motivation to pursue this particular program at this point in time in Canada is reasonable. Refused under R216(1)(b).			
<b>Other - No LOA</b>	You have not provided a valid Letter of Acceptance from the designated learning institution in Canada at which you wish to study. Refused under R216(1)(e) and R219(1).			
<b>R216(1)(b) Assets</b>	Taking the applicant's plan of studies into account, the applicant does not appear to be sufficiently well established that the proposed studies would be a reasonable expense.			
<b>Insufficient funds – living expenses: R220(b) + (c)</b>	Based on the financial documents submitted, I am not satisfied that the applicant has sufficient funds to pay the fees for the proposed studies.			
<b>Contravention of T&amp;Cs of previous stay: R216(1)(b) Previous Stay</b>	The applicant contravened the conditions of a prior stay in Canada.			
<b>Immigration status in COR: R216(1)(b) Immigration Status</b>	The applicant's immigration status in their country of residence appears to be temporary, which may reduce their ties to that country.			